

---

Stream: Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
RFC: [9982](#)  
Updates: [9555](#)  
Category: Standards Track  
Published: May 2026  
ISSN: 2070-1721  
Author: R. Stepanek  
*Fastmail*

## RFC 9982

# JSContact Version 2.0: A JSON Representation of Contact Data

---

### Abstract

This document defines version "2.0" of JSContact. It defines the uid property of a Card object to be optional, rather than mandatory, as defined previously in version "1.0". All other definitions of JSContact version "1.0" remain as defined in RFC 9553. This document updates RFC 9555 by redefining how to convert the now optional uid property from and to vCard. It also registers the vCard JSCOMPS parameter at IANA, which was defined but not registered in RFC 9555.

### Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9982>.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2026 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions

with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Notational Conventions	3
3. JSContact Version 2.0	3
4. Redefined uid Property	4
5. Redefined Conversion Rule for the uid Property	4
6. Other Changes	4
7. IANA Considerations	5
7.1. Update to the JSContact Version Registry	5
7.2. Update to the JSContact Properties Registry	5
7.3. Update to the vCard Parameters Registry	5
8. Security Considerations	5
9. References	5
9.1. Normative References	5
9.2. Informative References	6
Author's Address	6

## 1. Introduction

[JSContact \[RFC9553\]](#) defines the Card object uid property, a mandatory property that contains a unique identifier for the entity represented by that contact card. For the same purpose, the [vCard \[RFC6350\]](#) contact format defines the UID property, an optional property of a vCard instance. Throughout the rest of this document, the term uid (all lowercase) denotes the JSContact uid property, and the term UID (all uppercase) denotes the vCard UID property.

The uid property being defined as mandatory in JSContact has shown to be applicable for some use cases but turned out to be an issue in other contexts.

1. A stated goal of JSContact is to be compatible with the semantics of the vCard data format ([Section 1](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#)). But [\[RFC6350\]](#) defines the UID property of a vCard to be optional,

and consequently, the semantics of JSContact and vCard differ for such a crucial common element.

2. The [CardDAV protocol \[RFC6352\]](#) requires the UID property of a [vCard object \[RFC6350\]](#) to be set. Accordingly, an internet server that in addition to CardDAV also implements [JSON Meta Application Protocol \(JMAP\) for Contacts \[RFC9610\]](#) also expects the uid property of a JSContact Card to be set. In contrast, protocols such as [RDAP \[RFC9083\]](#) have no use for the uid property, either because they use different identifiers or they prefer to not include any unique identifier in the contact data at all. JSContact should not require them to generate unique identifiers that are irrelevant to their use case.
3. Converting vCards having no UID property to JSContact is especially problematic: [Section 2.1.1 of \[RFC9555\]](#) requires implementations in this case to generate a unique identifier during conversion but does not require it to be the same across implementations or even one implementation converting the same Card multiple times. A recipient being unaware that the uid property value of such a Card object is ephemeral might refer to it in the members property or relatedTo property of another Card object, introducing invalid relations between contact cards.

## 2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The ABNF definitions in this document use the notations of [[RFC5234](#)]. ABNF rules not defined in this document are defined in either [[RFC5234](#)] (such as the ABNF for CRLF, WSP, DQUOTE, VCHAR, ALPHA, and DIGIT) or [[RFC6350](#)].

## 3. JSContact Version 2.0

This document redefines the uid property of a Card object to become optional. Other than that, the property definition is left unchanged. This change requires the major version of JSContact to change, so this document defines the JSContact version to become "2.0". For further information about versioning JSContact data, see [Section 1.9 of \[RFC9553\]](#).

Implementations **MUST** create JSContact data that complies with the definitions of version "2.0" (or some later registered version) and **MUST** set the version property of the JSContact Card object to that version. They **MUST NOT** reject a Card object without the uid property as invalid unless specified differently in another document or unless the Card version property has value "1.0". As any valid version "1.0" JSContact Card is also valid according to version "2.0", there is no need to migrate existing JSContact data.

This document does not redefine the vCard UID property.

## 4. Redefined uid Property

This document redefines the type signature of the uid property, originally defined in [Section 2.1.9](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#).

OLD:

**uid: String (mandatory).**

NEW:

**uid: String (optional).**

The remaining property definition is left unchanged, with the following additional paragraph:

A Card without an uid property cannot be referred to as a group member in the members property ([Section 2.1.6](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#)) or put in relation to another Card object in the relatedTo property ([Section 2.1.8](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#)).

## 5. Redefined Conversion Rule for the uid Property

This document redefines how to convert the Card uid property from vCard, originally defined in [Section 2.1.1](#) of [\[RFC9555\]](#). The new conversion rule is as follows:

Implementations that convert a vCard without a UID property ([Section 6.7.6](#) of [\[RFC6350\]](#)) to a Card of version "2.0" or higher **MUST NOT** generate a unique identifier as a value for the uid property ([Section 2.1.9](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#)).

When converting a vCard without a UID property to JSContact version "1.0", implementations **MUST** generate a value for the uid property. How to generate unique identifiers is implementation-specific. Implementations **SHOULD** generate the same value when generating the same Card multiple times, but as [Section 1](#) describes, this still cannot prevent interoperability issues. Consequently, implementations **SHOULD NOT** convert to version "1.0" Card objects.

## 6. Other Changes

This document also registers the JSCOMPS parameter in the IANA "vCard Parameters" registry. The parameter was defined in [Section 3.3.1](#) of [\[RFC9555\]](#) but mistakenly not registered at IANA.

## 7. IANA Considerations

### 7.1. Update to the JSContact Version Registry

IANA has updated the "JSContact Version" registry, originally created in [Section 3.4](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#), by adding the following record:

Major Version	Highest Minor Version	Reference
2	0	RFC 9982

*Table 1: JSContact Version Registry*

### 7.2. Update to the JSContact Properties Registry

IANA has updated the "JSContact Properties" registry, originally created in [Section 3.5](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#): In the "Reference/Description" column of the uid property, a reference to [Section 4](#) of this document has been added.

### 7.3. Update to the vCard Parameters Registry

IANA has updated the "vCard Parameters" registry within the "vCard Elements" registry group by adding the following entry:

Namespace	Parameter	Reference
	JSCOMPS	<a href="#">Section 3.3.1</a> of <a href="#">[RFC9555]</a>

*Table 2: vCard Parameters Registry*

## 8. Security Considerations

This document does not provide new security considerations. The security considerations of [Section 4](#) of [\[RFC9553\]](#) apply.

## 9. References

### 9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.

- [RFC6350] Perreault, S., "vCard Format Specification", RFC 6350, DOI 10.17487/RFC6350, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6350>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC9553] Stepanek, R. and M. Loffredo, "JSContact: A JSON Representation of Contact Data", RFC 9553, DOI 10.17487/RFC9553, May 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9553>>.
- [RFC9555] Loffredo, M. and R. Stepanek, "JSContact: Converting from and to vCard", RFC 9555, DOI 10.17487/RFC9555, May 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9555>>.

## 9.2. Informative References

- [RFC6352] Daboo, C., "CardDAV: vCard Extensions to Web Distributed Authoring and Versioning (WebDAV)", RFC 6352, DOI 10.17487/RFC6352, August 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6352>>.
- [RFC9083] Hollenbeck, S. and A. Newton, "JSON Responses for the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", STD 95, RFC 9083, DOI 10.17487/RFC9083, June 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9083>>.
- [RFC9610] Jenkins, N., Ed., "JSON Meta Application Protocol (JMAP) for Contacts", RFC 9610, DOI 10.17487/RFC9610, December 2024, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9610>>.

## Author's Address

### Robert Stepanek

Fastmail  
PO Box 234  
Collins St. West  
Melbourne VIC 8007  
Australia  
Email: [rsto@fastmailteam.com](mailto:rsto@fastmailteam.com)